

MATH 113: 3/14 WORKSHEET

Binary predicates.

Some predicates most fruitfully understood as being a relationship between multiple objects. Take the sentence “Heracles’s mother is Alcmene”. You could formalize this as $A(h)$, where $h = \text{Heracles}$ and $A(x) = “x’s mother is Alcmene”$. But it’s more natural to formalize it as a predicate of two objects, not one: $M(h, a)$, where $h = \text{Heracles}$, $a = \text{Alcmene}$, and $M(x, y) = “x’s mother is y”$. When a predicate is about two objects we call it a *binary* predicate, and we call a predicate about one object *unary*.

Another example.

Our domain is the positive integers. Let $L(x, y)$ be the predicate x is less than or equal to y , also written $x \leq y$. Which of these statements are true?

- (1) $L(3, 7)$
- (2) $L(5, 3)$
- (3) $L(2, 2)$
- (4) $\forall x L(0, x)$
- (5) $\forall x L(x, 0)$

n -ary predicates.

You can also have a predicate about more than two objects. For example, you might formalize “Heracles’s parents are Alcmene and Zeus” as $P(h, a, z)$, with appropriate symbolization key.

- (1) Write out this symbolization key.

QUANTIFIER ORDER

An important question is, how does the order of quantifiers affect the meaning of a sentence?

Work in the domain of all humans with the symbolization key $M(x, y) = \text{"}x\text{'s mother is }y\text{"}$.

- (1) Explain why $\forall x \exists y M(x, y)$ can be rendered in English as “everyone has a mother”. Is this a true sentence?
- (2) Render $\exists y \forall x M(x, y)$ into English. Is this a true sentence? What can you conclude about quantifier order?
- (3) How would you symbolize “some people have two mothers” in first-order logic?

Work in the domain of real numbers with the symbolization key $L(x, y) = \text{"}x \leq y\text{"}$.

- (1) Render $\forall x \exists y L(x, y)$ into English. Is this a true sentence?
- (2) Render $\exists y \forall x L(x, y)$ into English. Is this a true sentence?
- (3) Render $\forall x \exists y L(y, x)$ into English. Is this a true sentence?
- (4) Render $\exists y \forall x L(y, x)$ into English. Is this a true sentence?

In mathematics the pattern $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ is very common, expressing that every x has a y satisfying $P(x, y)$.

- (1) Provide a symbolization key and symbolize in first-order logic the mathematical fact “every linear function has a root”. What is the domain?
- (2) Provide a symbolization key and symbolize in first-order logic the mathematical fact “every polynomial has a derivative”. What is the domain?
- (3) Provide a symbolization key and symbolize in first-order logic the mathematical fact “every pair of integers has a least common multiple”. What is the domain?

Work in the domain consisting of all monkeys and all bananas and use the following symbolization key to symbolize these sentences.

$M(x)$	x is a monkey
$B(x)$	x is a banana
$E(x, y)$	x wants to eat y

- (1) Every monkey wants to eat some banana.
- (2) Some monkey wants to eat every banana.
- (3) No monkey wants to eat another monkey.
- (4) Every banana wants to eat every monkey.
- (5) Some banana wants to eat itself.
- (6) There’s a banana that wants to eat every monkey who wants to eat it.
- (7) If there is a banana which wants to eat every monkey then there is a monkey who doesn’t want to eat any banana.
- (8) For any monkey who wants to eat a banana there is another monkey who wants to eat the same banana.