

Math 321: Relations and functions, II

Kameryn J Williams

University of Hawai'i at Mānoa

Spring 2021

Functions

We've been talking about **relations** as mathematical objects. Now we want to move to talking about **functions** as mathematical objects.

Functions

We've been talking about **relations** as mathematical objects. Now we want to move to talking about **functions** as mathematical objects.

There are multiple ways to think of functions:

- An expression describing what to do with the input, e.g.
 $f(x) = x^2 - 2$.
- A rule describing the output given the input, e.g. $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ is the least common multiple of a and b .
- An algorithm describing how to compute the output from the input.

Functions

We've been talking about **relations** as mathematical objects. Now we want to move to talking about **functions** as mathematical objects.

There are multiple ways to think of functions:

- An expression describing what to do with the input, e.g.
 $f(x) = x^2 - 2$.
- A rule describing the output given the input, e.g. $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ is the least common multiple of a and b .
- An algorithm describing how to compute the output from the input.

What these all have in common is that it's about assigning outputs to inputs. That's the idea we'll use for defining functions in abstract generality.

Functions, formally

Let A and B be sets.

- A **function** from A to B is a set $f \subseteq A \times B$ of pairs $(a, f(a))$ so that for each $a \in A$ there is a unique $f(a) \in B$.
- We write $f : A \rightarrow B$.
- That is, we define a function as its graphs.
- Note that requiring the value $f(a)$ to be unique is saying the function must satisfy the vertical line test.
- A is called the **domain** of f , also written $\text{dom } f$, and B is called the **codomain**.
- The **range** of f , written $\text{ran } f$, is the set of all $b \in B$ which are outputs $f(a)$ for some $a \in A$.

Functions, formally

Let A and B be sets.

- A **function** from A to B is a set $f \subseteq A \times B$ of pairs $(a, f(a))$ so that for each $a \in A$ there is a unique $f(a) \in B$.
- We write $f : A \rightarrow B$.
- That is, we define a function as its graphs.
- Note that requiring the value $f(a)$ to be unique is saying the function must satisfy the vertical line test.
- A is called the **domain** of f , also written $\text{dom } f$, and B is called the **codomain**.
- The **range** of f , written $\text{ran } f$, is the set of all $b \in B$ which are outputs $f(a)$ for some $a \in A$.

We could modify this definition in a few ways.

- Some mathematicians require the codomain to be part of the definition, so that e.g. $|\cdot| : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $|\cdot| : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are considered different functions.

Functions, formally

Let A and B be sets.

- A **function** from A to B is a set $f \subseteq A \times B$ of pairs $(a, f(a))$ so that for each $a \in A$ there is a unique $f(a) \in B$.
- We write $f : A \rightarrow B$.
- That is, we define a function as its graphs.
- Note that requiring the value $f(a)$ to be unique is saying the function must satisfy the vertical line test.
- A is called the **domain** of f , also written $\text{dom } f$, and B is called the **codomain**.
- The **range** of f , written $\text{ran } f$, is the set of all $b \in B$ which are outputs $f(a)$ for some $a \in A$.

We could modify this definition in a few ways.

- Some mathematicians require the codomain to be part of the definition, so that e.g. $|\cdot| : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $|\cdot| : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are considered different functions.
- Instead of requiring $f(a)$ to exist for every $a \in A$, we could just it exists for some. We call this a **partial function** from A to B .

Functions, formally

Let A and B be sets.

- A **function** from A to B is a set $f \subseteq A \times B$ of pairs $(a, f(a))$ so that for each $a \in A$ there is a unique $f(a) \in B$.
- We write $f : A \rightarrow B$.
- That is, we define a function as its graphs.
- Note that requiring the value $f(a)$ to be unique is saying the function must satisfy the vertical line test.
- A is called the **domain** of f , also written $\text{dom } f$, and B is called the **codomain**.
- The **range** of f , written $\text{ran } f$, is the set of all $b \in B$ which are outputs $f(a)$ for some $a \in A$.

We could modify this definition in a few ways.

- Some mathematicians require the codomain to be part of the definition, so that e.g. $|\cdot| : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $|\cdot| : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are considered different functions.
- Instead of requiring $f(a)$ to exist for every $a \in A$, we could just it exists for some. We call this a **partial function** from A to B .
- Instead of requiring $f(a)$ to be unique, we could allow multiple values for one input a . We call this a **multi-valued function** from A to B .

Functions, formally

Let A and B be sets.

- A **function** from A to B is a set $f \subseteq A \times B$ of pairs $(a, f(a))$ so that for each $a \in A$ there is a unique $f(a) \in B$.
- We write $f : A \rightarrow B$.
- That is, we define a function as its graphs.
- Note that requiring the value $f(a)$ to be unique is saying the function must satisfy the vertical line test.
- A is called the **domain** of f , also written $\text{dom } f$, and B is called the **codomain**.
- The **range** of f , written $\text{ran } f$, is the set of all $b \in B$ which are outputs $f(a)$ for some $a \in A$.

We could modify this definition in a few ways.

- Some mathematicians require the codomain to be part of the definition, so that e.g. $|\cdot| : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $|\cdot| : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are considered different functions.
- Instead of requiring $f(a)$ to exist for every $a \in A$, we could just it exists for some. We call this a **partial function** from A to B .
- Instead of requiring $f(a)$ to be unique, we could allow multiple values for one input a . We call this a **multi-valued function** from A to B .

In some contexts, it's more convenient to work with partial or multi-valued functions.

Functions, pictorally

Definitions with functions

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function.

- If the range of f is all of B , we say f is **onto B** or **surjective onto B** .

If the codomain is clear, usually we just say onto or surjective.

- If $f(a) \neq f(a')$ whenever $a \neq a'$ are distinct inputs from A , we say f is **one-to-one** or **injective**.

Equivalently, f is one-to-one if $f(a) = f(a')$ implies $a = a'$.

- If f is both one-to-one and onto B , we say f is a **bijection** onto B . This is also called a **one-to-one correspondence between A and B** .

Definitions with functions

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function.

- If the range of f is all of B , we say f is **onto B** or **surjective onto B** .

If the codomain is clear, usually we just say onto or surjective.

- If $f(a) \neq f(a')$ whenever $a \neq a'$ are distinct inputs from A , we say f is **one-to-one** or **injective**.

Equivalently, f is one-to-one if $f(a) = f(a')$ implies $a = a'$.

- If f is both one-to-one and onto B , we say f is a **bijection onto B** . This is also called a **one-to-one correspondence between A and B** .

- If $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ are functions, their **composition** is the function $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ defined as $(g \circ f)(a) = g(f(a))$.

Definitions with functions

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function.

- If the range of f is all of B , we say f is **onto B** or **surjective onto B** .
If the codomain is clear, usually we just say onto or surjective.
- If $f(a) \neq f(a')$ whenever $a \neq a'$ are distinct inputs from A , we say f is **one-to-one** or **injective**.
Equivalently, f is one-to-one if $f(a) = f(a')$ implies $a = a'$.
- If f is both one-to-one and onto B , we say f is a **bijection onto B** . This is also called a **one-to-one correspondence between A and B** .

- If $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ are functions, their **composition** is the function $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ defined as $(g \circ f)(a) = g(f(a))$.
- If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is one-to-one, we can define its **inverse** $f^{-1} : \text{ran } f \rightarrow A$ as $a = f^{-1}(b)$ iff $b = f(a)$. This assigns a unique output to each b because f is one-to-one.

Definitions with functions

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function.

- If the range of f is all of B , we say f is **onto B** or **surjective onto B** .
If the codomain is clear, usually we just say onto or surjective.
- If $f(a) \neq f(a')$ whenever $a \neq a'$ are distinct inputs from A , we say f is **one-to-one** or **injective**.
Equivalently, f is one-to-one if $f(a) = f(a')$ implies $a = a'$.
- If f is both one-to-one and onto B , we say f is a **bijection onto B** . This is also called a **one-to-one correspondence between A and B** .

- If $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ are functions, their **composition** is the function $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ defined as $(g \circ f)(a) = g(f(a))$.
- If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is one-to-one, we can define its **inverse** $f^{-1} : \text{ran } f \rightarrow A$ as $a = f^{-1}(b)$ iff $b = f(a)$. This assigns a unique output to each b because f is one-to-one.
- The **identity function** on a set A is the function $\text{id} : A \rightarrow A$ defined as $\text{id}(a) = a$.

Some results

Theorem

The composition of one-to-one functions is one-to-one. And ditto for onto or bijective functions.

Some results

Theorem

The composition of one-to-one functions is one-to-one. And ditto for onto or bijective functions.

(Injections) Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ are both one-to-one. Consider their composition $h = g \circ f$.

Some results

Theorem

The composition of one-to-one functions is one-to-one. And ditto for onto or bijective functions.

(Injections) Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ are both one-to-one. Consider their composition $h = g \circ f$. Consider arbitrary $a, a' \in A$ and suppose $h(a) = h(a')$. That is, $g(f(a)) = g(f(a'))$. Since g is one-to-one, we get that $f(a) = f(a')$. Since f is one-to-one, we get that $a = a'$. So h is one-to-one, as desired. \square

Some results

Theorem

The composition of one-to-one functions is one-to-one. And ditto for onto or bijective functions.

(Surjections)

(Injections) Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ are both one-to-one. Consider their composition $h = g \circ f$. Consider arbitrary $a, a' \in A$ and suppose $h(a) = h(a')$. That is, $g(f(a)) = g(f(a'))$. Since g is one-to-one, we get that $f(a) = f(a')$. Since f is one-to-one, we get that $a = a'$. So h is one-to-one, as desired. \square

(Bijections)

Some results

Theorem

The composition of one-to-one functions is one-to-one. And ditto for onto or bijective functions.

(Surjections) Homework :)

(Injections) Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ are both one-to-one. Consider their composition $h = g \circ f$. Consider arbitrary $a, a' \in A$ and suppose $h(a) = h(a')$. That is, $g(f(a)) = g(f(a'))$. Since g is one-to-one, we get that $f(a) = f(a')$. Since f is one-to-one, we get that $a = a'$. So h is one-to-one, as desired. \square

(Bijections) Homework :)

A connection between functions and equivalence relations

Suppose you have a function $f : A \rightarrow B$.

- Define a relation \sim_f on A as $x \sim_f y$ if $f(x) = f(y)$.
- You can check \sim_f is an equivalence relation on A .

A connection between functions and equivalence relations

Suppose you have a function $f : A \rightarrow B$.

- Define a relation \sim_f on A as $x \sim_f y$ if $f(x) = f(y)$.
- You can check \sim_f is an equivalence relation on A .

Moreover, every equivalence relation arises like this from a function.

A connection between functions and equivalence relations

Suppose you have a function $f : A \rightarrow B$.

- Define a relation \sim_f on A as $x \sim_f y$ if $f(x) = f(y)$.
- You can check \sim_f is an equivalence relation on A .

Moreover, every equivalence relation arises like this from a function.

- Suppose \sim is an equivalence relation on A .
- Define $f : A \rightarrow A/\sim$ as $f(x) = [x]$.
- Then, $\sim = \sim_f$.

Another connection between functions and equivalence relations

Suppose you have a set A , an equivalence relation \sim on A , and a function $f : A \rightarrow A$.

- We say that f is **well-defined on \sim -equivalence classes** if $a \sim b$ implies $f(a) \sim f(b)$.
- If this happens, we can think of f as a function on the equivalence classes A/\sim , by $f([a]) = [f(a)]$.

Another connection between functions and equivalence relations

Suppose you have a set A , an equivalence relation \sim on A , and a function $f : A \rightarrow A$.

- We say that f is **well-defined on \sim -equivalence classes** if $a \sim b$ implies $f(a) \sim f(b)$.
- If this happens, we can think of f as a function on the equivalence classes A/\sim , by $f([a]) = [f(a)]$.

For example: addition and multiplication are well-defined on equivalence modulo 5.

Another connection between functions and equivalence relations

Suppose you have a set A , an equivalence relation \sim on A , and a function $f : A \rightarrow A$.

- We say that f is **well-defined on \sim -equivalence classes** if $a \sim b$ implies $f(a) \sim f(b)$.
- If this happens, we can think of f as a function on the equivalence classes A/\sim , by $f([a]) = [f(a)]$.

For example: addition and multiplication are well-defined on equivalence modulo 5.

- We need to see: if $a \equiv a' \pmod{5}$ and $b \equiv b' \pmod{5}$ then $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{5}$ and $ab \equiv a'b' \pmod{5}$.

Another connection between functions and equivalence relations

Suppose you have a set A , an equivalence relation \sim on A , and a function $f : A \rightarrow A$.

- We say that f is **well-defined on \sim -equivalence classes** if $a \sim b$ implies $f(a) \sim f(b)$.
- If this happens, we can think of f as a function on the equivalence classes A/\sim , by $f([a]) = [f(a)]$.

For example: addition and multiplication are well-defined on equivalence modulo 5.

- We need to see: if $a \equiv a' \pmod{5}$ and $b \equiv b' \pmod{5}$ then $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{5}$ and $ab \equiv a'b' \pmod{5}$.

These are calculations:

- We know $a - a' = 5k$ and $b - b' = 5\ell$ for some integers k, ℓ .

Another connection between functions and equivalence relations

Suppose you have a set A , an equivalence relation \sim on A , and a function $f : A \rightarrow A$.

- We say that f is **well-defined on \sim -equivalence classes** if $a \sim b$ implies $f(a) \sim f(b)$.
- If this happens, we can think of f as a function on the equivalence classes A/\sim , by $f([a]) = [f(a)]$.

For example: addition and multiplication are well-defined on equivalence modulo 5.

- We need to see: if $a \equiv a' \pmod{5}$ and $b \equiv b' \pmod{5}$ then $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{5}$ and $ab \equiv a'b' \pmod{5}$.

These are calculations:

- We know $a - a' = 5k$ and $b - b' = 5\ell$ for some integers k, ℓ .
- So $a = a' + 5k$ and $b = b' + 5\ell$.

Another connection between functions and equivalence relations

Suppose you have a set A , an equivalence relation \sim on A , and a function $f : A \rightarrow A$.

- We say that f is **well-defined on \sim -equivalence classes** if $a \sim b$ implies $f(a) \sim f(b)$.
- If this happens, we can think of f as a function on the equivalence classes A/\sim , by $f([a]) = [f(a)]$.

For example: addition and multiplication are well-defined on equivalence modulo 5.

- We need to see: if $a \equiv a' \pmod{5}$ and $b \equiv b' \pmod{5}$ then $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{5}$ and $ab \equiv a'b' \pmod{5}$.

These are calculations:

- We know $a - a' = 5k$ and $b - b' = 5\ell$ for some integers k, ℓ .
- So $a = a' + 5k$ and $b = b' + 5\ell$.
- So $a + b = (a' + b') + 5(k + \ell)$, whence we conclude $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{5}$.
- And
$$ab = (a' + 5k)(b' + 5\ell) = a'b' + 5b'k + 5a'\ell + 25k\ell = a'b' + 5(b'k + a'\ell + 5k\ell),$$
whence we conclude $ab \equiv a'b' \pmod{5}$.

Another connection between functions and equivalence relations

Suppose you have a set A , an equivalence relation \sim on A , and a function $f : A \rightarrow A$.

- We say that f is **well-defined on \sim -equivalence classes** if $a \sim b$ implies $f(a) \sim f(b)$.
- If this happens, we can think of f as a function on the equivalence classes A/\sim , by $f([a]) = [f(a)]$.

For example: addition and multiplication are well-defined on equivalence modulo 5.

- We need to see: if $a \equiv a' \pmod{5}$ and $b \equiv b' \pmod{5}$ then $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{5}$ and $ab \equiv a'b' \pmod{5}$.

These are calculations:

- We know $a - a' = 5k$ and $b - b' = 5\ell$ for some integers k, ℓ .
- So $a = a' + 5k$ and $b = b' + 5\ell$.
- So $a + b = (a' + b') + 5(k + \ell)$, whence we conclude $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{5}$.
- And
$$ab = (a' + 5k)(b' + 5\ell) = a'b' + 5b'k + 5a'\ell + 25k\ell = a'b' + 5(b'k + a'\ell + 5k\ell),$$
whence we conclude $ab \equiv a'b' \pmod{5}$.

More generally, addition and multiplication are well-defined modulo n for any $n > 1$, as you will prove in homework.

Counting functions

Suppose a set A has m elements and a set B has n elements.

- How many functions are there from A to B ?

Counting functions

Suppose a set A has m elements and a set B has n elements.

- How many functions are there from A to B ?
- How many one-to-one functions are there from A to B ?

Counting functions

Suppose a set A has m elements and a set B has n elements.

- How many functions are there from A to B ?
- How many one-to-one functions are there from A to B ?
- How many bijections are there from A to B ?

Counting functions

Suppose a set A has m elements and a set B has n elements.

- How many functions are there from A to B ?
- How many one-to-one functions are there from A to B ?
- How many bijections are there from A to B ?
- How many onto functions are there from A to B ?