

MATH455 HOMEWORK 1
DUE FRIDAY, JANUARY 31

Recall the three axioms for equivalence relations, in the language \mathcal{L} with a binary relation symbol \equiv .

| | |
|---------|---|
| (Refl) | $\forall x x \equiv x$ |
| (Sym) | $\forall x \forall y x \equiv y \Rightarrow y \equiv x$ |
| (Trans) | $\forall x \forall y \forall z (x \equiv y \wedge y \equiv z) \Rightarrow x \equiv z$ |

Exercise 1. Consider the following step-by-step proof of Refl from Sym + Trans as axioms.

- (1) Fix x .
- (2) Fix y so that $x \equiv y$.
- (3) Then by Sym, $y \equiv x$.
- (4) So by Trans, $x \equiv x$.
- (5) Since x was arbitrary we have proved $\forall x x \equiv x$.

This proof has a gap. Identify in which step the gap appears. Formulate an extra axiom φ which fills the gap and write a proof of Refl from the axioms Sym + Trans + φ . Produce an \mathcal{L} -structure which satisfies Sym and Trans but not Refl.

Exercise 2. Do Exercise 4.9 from the textbook (page 38).

Exercise 3. Let φ and ψ be arbitrary formulae. Prove that the following pairs of formulae are logically equivalent.

- $\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$ and $\neg\varphi \vee \neg\psi$.
- $\neg(\varphi \vee \psi)$ and $\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi$.
- φ and $\neg\neg\varphi$.
- $\forall x\varphi$ and $\neg\exists x\neg\varphi$.
- $\exists x\varphi$ and $\neg\forall x\neg\varphi$.

Exercise 4. Use the previous exercise to show the following.

- Every formula is logically equivalent to a formula using only \wedge , \neg , and \forall (i.e. no \vee nor \exists).
- Every formula is logically equivalent to a formula using only \vee , \neg , and \exists (i.e. no \wedge nor \forall).

[Hint: argue by induction on formulae.]