Math 321: Relations, part I

Kameryn J Williams

University of Hawai'i at Mānoa

Fall 2020

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

Math 321: Relations, part I

E Fall 2020 1 / 12

(日) (同) (三) (三)

590

When we talk about mathematical objects, we don't just want to talk about them by themselves. There's nothing interesting in asserting: "the number 7". Rather, we want to say stuff like "2 + 2 = 4" or " $e < \pi$ ". That is, we want to apply functions like + to our objects or we want to say our objects satisfy a relation like <.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

When we talk about mathematical objects, we don't just want to talk about them by themselves. There's nothing interesting in asserting: "the number 7". Rather, we want to say stuff like "2 + 2 = 4" or " $e < \pi$ ". That is, we want to apply functions like + to our objects or we want to say our objects satisfy a relation like <.

While something like "2 + 2 < 7 is a *statement* about mathematical objects, we also want to be able to think about the relation < as a mathematical object in its own right.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ● ● ●

When we talk about mathematical objects, we don't just want to talk about them by themselves. There's nothing interesting in asserting: "the number 7". Rather, we want to say stuff like "2 + 2 = 4" or " $e < \pi$ ". That is, we want to apply functions like + to our objects or we want to say our objects satisfy a relation like <.

While something like "2 + 2 < 7 is a *statement* about mathematical objects, we also want to be able to think about the relation < as a mathematical object in its own right.

So let's talk about how to do that.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ● ● ●

An ordered pair is just as the name suggests: a pair of objects where you know the order—which is first versus which is last. We write (a, b) for the ordered pair whose first element is a and whose second element is b.

An ordered pair is just as the name suggests: a pair of objects where you know the order—which is first versus which is last. We write (a, b) for the ordered pair whose first element is a and whose second element is b.

Definition

Given two sets A and B, their Cartesian product is the set

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

An ordered pair is just as the name suggests: a pair of objects where you know the order—which is first versus which is last. We write (a, b) for the ordered pair whose first element is a and whose second element is b.

Definition

Given two sets A and B, their Cartesian product is the set

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

For example, $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \{(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the Cartesian plane.

- If A has m elements and B has n elements then $A \times B$ has mn elements.
- 2 In general, $A \times B \neq B \times A$.
- $A \times (B \cup C) = (A \times B) \cup (A \times C).$
- $A \times (B \cap C) = (A \times B) \cap (A \times C).$
- $A \times \emptyset = \emptyset \times A = \emptyset.$

You can also do Cartesian products with more than two coordinates, for example $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is three-dimensional Euclidean space. If you have, say, four coordinates, then instead of ordered pairs (a, b) you need ordered quadruples (a, b, c, d). But the idea is the same, and we will mainly be concerned with the binary case.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

Example: Consider the relation < on \mathbb{R} . We want to think of this relation as an object.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

DQC

Example: Consider the relation < on $\mathbb{R}.$ We want to think of this relation as an object.

- The idea: what we need to know is when x < y is true.
- So we can represent < as the set of all pairs (x, y) for which x < y.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Example: Consider the relation < on $\mathbb{R}.$ We want to think of this relation as an object.

- The idea: what we need to know is when x < y is true.
- So we can represent < as the set of all pairs (x, y) for which x < y.
- That is, we represent < as a certain subset of the Cartesian product $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}.$

Example: Consider the relation < on $\mathbb{R}.$ We want to think of this relation as an object.

- The idea: what we need to know is when x < y is true.
- So we can represent < as the set of all pairs (x, y) for which x < y.
- That is, we represent < as a certain subset of the Cartesian product $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}.$
- This perspective on relations is extensional—based only on what elements make the relation true—rather than intensional—based just on how it is defined.

Let A and B be sets. Then a (binary) relation from A to B is a subset of $A \times B$.

< D > < A > < B >

Let A and B be sets. Then a (binary) relation from A to B is a subset of $A \times B$.

Examples:

- $| = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : a \text{ divides } b\}.$
- $< = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : x < y\}.$
- Equivalence modulo *n* is the relation $\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : a \equiv b \mod n\}$.

Let A and B be sets. Then a (binary) relation from A to B is a subset of $A \times B$.

Examples:

- $| = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : a \text{ divides } b\}.$
- $< = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : x < y\}.$
- Equivalence modulo *n* is the relation $\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : a \equiv b \mod n\}$.

When talking about relations abstractly, we will need to give them a name. We will usually use a letter, saving symbols like <, \subseteq , \in , |, etc. for specific relations.

So a R b just means that $(a, b) \in R$ for some relation R.

- 4 🗇 🕨 - 4 🧮 🕨 - 4 🖷 🕨

Thinking pictorally about relations

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

æ Fall 2020 8 / 12

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

996

Let R be a relation from A to B.

- The domain of R is dom $R = \{a \in A : \exists b \in B \ a R \ b\}$
- The range of R is ran $R = \{b \in B : \exists a \in A \ a R \ b\}.$
- The inverse of R is the relation $R^{-1} = \{(b, a) \in B \times A : (a, b) \in R\}$.
- Let S be a relation from B to C. The composition of S and R is the relation S ∘ R = {(a, c) ∈ A × C : ∃b ∈ B a R b S c}.

・ロト ・何ト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Let R be a relation from A to B.

- The domain of R is dom $R = \{a \in A : \exists b \in B \ a R \ b\}$
- The range of R is ran $R = \{b \in B : \exists a \in A \ a R \ b\}.$
- The inverse of R is the relation $R^{-1} = \{(b, a) \in B \times A : (a, b) \in R\}$.
- Let S be a relation from B to C. The composition of S and R is the relation S ∘ R = {(a, c) ∈ A × C : ∃b ∈ B a R b S c}.

These should remind you of the definitions for functions. And indeed the same facts for functions also hold true for relations, e.g. $R \circ (S \circ T) = (R \circ S) \circ T$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Examples

Let's look at the relations <, |, and $\equiv \mod n$ on \mathbb{N} .

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

Math 321: Relations, part I

Fall 2020 10 / 12

996

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

More properties of relations

Let R be a relation on A.

- *R* is reflexive if a R a for all $a \in A$.
- *R* is symmetric if a R b implies b R a for all $a, b \in A$.
- *R* is transitive if (a R b and b R c) implies a R c for all $a, b, c \in A$.

< ∃ ►

Examples

Let's look at the relations <, |, and $\equiv \mod n$ on \mathbb{N} .

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

Math 321: Relations, part I

Fall 2020 12 / 12

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 - 釣��