Math 321: Modular Arithmetic

Kameryn J Williams

University of Hawai'i at Mānoa

Fall 2020

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

Math 321: Modular Arithmetic

E Fall 2020 1 / 12

(日) (同) (三) (三)

590

We've been talking about strategies for proofs. There's still more to learn here, but let's take a break to apply what we've learned.

Specifically, we're going to look at modular arithmetic.

We've been talking about strategies for proofs. There's still more to learn here, but let's take a break to apply what we've learned.

Specifically, we're going to look at modular arithmetic.

The idea: fix a number n. Then do addition, multiplication, and so on where you only care about the remainder where you divide by n. For example:

 $1+1\equiv 0 \mod 2$

is another way to express that the sum of two odd numbers is even. Modular arithmetic lets us generalize this kind of thinking. Let's prove that if you do integer division with remainder you always get a unique answer.

Theorem

Let n, d be integers with d > 0. Then there are unique integers q and r so that n = qd + r with $0 \le r < d$. We call q the quotient and r the remainder. You could instead write this as:

$$\frac{n}{d} = q + \frac{r}{d}$$

(In fact this is also true if d < 0.)

Let's prove that if you do integer division with remainder you always get a unique answer.

Theorem

Let n, d be integers with d > 0. Then there are unique integers q and r so that n = qd + r with $0 \le r < d$. We call q the quotient and r the remainder. You could instead write this as:

$$\frac{n}{d} = q + \frac{r}{d}$$

(In fact this is also true if d < 0.)

The strategy: let q be the largest integer so that $qd \le n$, then let r = n - qd. This uniquely determines q and r. Check that this works.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Proof.

First, let us see that the set X of integers x so that $xd \le n$ is bounded from above. This can be seen just by noting that if x > n then $xd > n \cdot 1 = n$, so every number in X is $\le n$. Because X is a set of integers which is bounded above, it has a largest element, call it q. And because $qd \le n$ there is an integer $r \ge 0$ so that qd + r = n. Note that q and r are uniquely determined. It remains only to check that r < d. Suppose toward a contradiction that

 $r \ge d$. Then we get that qd + d = (q + 1)d < qd + r = n. So $q + 1 \in X$, contradicting that q is the largest element of X.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Let a, b be integers and n be a positive integer. Say that a and b are equivalent modulo n, written

 $a \equiv b \mod n$,

if a and b have the same remainder when divided by n.

Let a, b be integers and n be a positive integer. Say that a and b are equivalent modulo n, written

 $a \equiv b \mod n$,

if a and b have the same remainder when divided by n. We could equivalently define that $a \equiv b \mod n$ if b - a is a multiple of n. Let a, b be integers and n be a positive integer. Say that a and b are equivalent modulo n, written

 $a \equiv b \mod n$,

if a and b have the same remainder when divided by n.

We could equivalently define that $a \equiv b \mod n$ if b - a is a multiple of n.

Why? Because if $a = q_a n + r$ and $b = q_b n + r$ then $b - a = (q_b - q_a)n$.

Problem

It is currently 7:00. What time will it be in 8 hours?

1

• • • • • • • • • • • •

590

Problem

It is currently 7:00. What time will it be in 8 hours?

Answer.

$$7+8=15\equiv 3 \mod 12,$$

so it will be 3:00.

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

Math 321: Modular Arithmetic

Proposition

Suppose $a_0 \equiv a_1 \mod n$ and $b_0 \equiv b_1 \mod n$. Then:

1
$$a_0 + b_0 \equiv a_1 + b_1 \mod n;$$

2)
$$a_0 - b_0 \equiv a_1 - b_1 \mod n$$
; and

$$a_0 \cdot b_0 \equiv a_1 \cdot b_1 \mod n.$$

This proposition says that if we do modular arithmetic, then all that matters is the remainder: If two numbers have the same remainder, then you can substitute one for the other and get the same answer. Suppose $a_0 \equiv a_1 \mod n$ and $b_0 \equiv b_1 \mod n$. We want to see that $a_0 + b_0 \equiv a_1 + b_1 \mod n$. By definition, we know that $(a_1 - a_0) = k_a n$ and $(b_1 - b_0) = k_b n$. Now look at

$$(a_1 + b_1) - (a_0 + b_0) = (a_1 - a_0) + (b_1 - b_0)$$

= $k_a n + k_b n$
= $(k_a + k_b) n$.

That is, we have seen that $a_0 + b_0 \equiv a_1 + b_1 \mod n$.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Suppose $a_0 \equiv a_1 \mod n$ and $b_0 \equiv b_1 \mod n$. We want to see that $a_0 + b_0 \equiv a_1 + b_1 \mod n$. By definition, we know that $(a_1 - a_0) = k_a n$ and $(b_1 - b_0) = k_b n$. Now look at

$$(a_1 + b_1) - (a_0 + b_0) = (a_1 - a_0) + (b_1 - b_0)$$

= $k_a n + k_b n$
= $(k_a + k_b) n$.

That is, we have seen that $a_0 + b_0 \equiv a_1 + b_1 \mod n$.

You can show the subtraction and multiplication case by a similar argument.

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

But there is an alternate way to think about division. Saying that $\frac{a}{b} = c$ is just saying that a = bc. That is, we can define division just in terms of multiplication.

But there is an alternate way to think about division. Saying that $\frac{a}{b} = c$ is just saying that a = bc. That is, we can define division just in terms of multiplication.

Can we do this with modular multiplication? That is, if we have a and b when can we find c so that $a \equiv bc \mod n$?

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト 三日

But there is an alternate way to think about division. Saying that $\frac{a}{b} = c$ is just saying that a = bc. That is, we can define division just in terms of multiplication.

Can we do this with modular multiplication? That is, if we have a and b when can we find c so that $a \equiv bc \mod n$?

Let's note that we can reduce the problem to asking: given b when can we find c so that $1 \equiv bc \mod n$? If we can do it for 1, then multiplying both sides by a gives it us for a. So to know whether we can divide by b what we need to know is whether b has a multiplicative inverse.

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三回 - のへで

What about division?

Let's look at the example n = 5. We can write out the full multiplication table modulo 5:

•	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	0	2	4	1	3
3	0	3	1	4	2
4	0	4	3	2	1

In each nonzero row a 1 appears, so every nonzero number has a multiplicative inverse modulo 5.

Next let's look at the example n = 6. We can write out the full multiplication table modulo 6:

•	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5
2	0	2	4	0	2	4
3	0	3	0	3	0	3
4	0	4	2	0	4	2
5	0	5	4	3	2	1

Only the rows for 1 and 5 have a 1 in them, so only 1 and 5 have a multiplicative inverse modulo 6.

What's the general pattern for modular division?

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

Math 321: Modular Arithmetic

3 Fall 2020 12 / 12

Image: A math a math

SQC

Theorem

Let a < n be a nonzero natural number. Then a has a multiplicative inverse modulo n if and only if gcd(a, n) = 1. In particular, if n is prime then all non zero a < n have multiplicative inverses modulo n.

Theorem

Let a < n be a nonzero natural number. Then a has a multiplicative inverse modulo n if and only if gcd(a, n) = 1. In particular, if n is prime then all non zero a < n have multiplicative inverses modulo n.

We will see why this is true another time :)